

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,493	03/26/2004	Yuu Tanaka	000409-107	7874
21839 7.	21839 7590 01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC (INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404			NGUYEN, THU V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		3661	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		, <u>.</u>				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/809,493	TANAKA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
_		Thu Nguyen	3661			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH/	S) OD THIRTY (20) DAVE			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 No	ovember 2005.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-8 is/are rejected.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
- 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d			
Attachmen	• •					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)			
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on November 7, 2005 has been entered. By this amendment, claims 3-4 have been canceled, and claims 1-2, 5-8 are now pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. In claim 7, line 3, the claimed "by resetting" is ambiguous, it is not clear what data or what device the apparatus performs resetting. If it is the target parking position data that is reset does it mean that the old target parking position is overwritten with the new target parking position?
 - b. Claim 8, line 3 is similarly rejected as explained in claim 7 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/809,493 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al (US 6,424,895).

As per claim 1-2, Shimizu teaches a parking assist apparatus, the apparatus comprises: a target parking position setting means for setting target parking position Ro based on an image displaying surrounding of a vehicle (col.10, lines 30-39); a traveling locus calculating means for calculating a traveling locus from the present vehicle position to the target parking position (col.10, lines 10-16; col.11, lines 18-24); a memory means for storing traveling locus generated before a change of the target parking position is performed (col.1, lines 65-67); a parking assist means for assisting parking of the vehicle based on the new traveling locus if the new traveling locus is generated (col.11, lines 31-42), and if the new traveling locus is not generated (when the start position of the vehicle is the same as the start position of the previously stored position) the parking assist means assists the parking based on the traveling locus stored in the memory (col.1, lines 65-67; col.2, lines 1-10; col.11, lines 7-11). Shimizu does not explicitly disclose maintaining storage of the traveling locus generated before a change of the target parking position until a new traveling locus is generated. However, Shimizu teaches the capability of determining the new traveling locus (col.11, lines 31-52). It would have been both obvious and obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to store the traveling locus when such the locus is available in order to perform automatic parking without having to computing the traveling locus when automatic parking is performed in the same parking condition.

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 5-8, Shimizu teaches the capability of correcting the optimal locus when there is a shifting in the starting position that leads to the shifting of the optimal target parking position using the stored locus of the optimal parking position, or when there is an obstacle based on the command from the determining section 24 (fig.1) (col.11, lines 31-67; col.12, lines 1-7; col.11, lines 7-11), storing such the locus command depending on the degree of changes of the target parking position when such the locus command is available would have been obvious matter of design choice. Moreover, with respect to claim 7-8, rewriting the old data with the newly generated data would have been well known.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/809,493 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6967. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 18, 2006

THU V. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER